# Siphonostomatoid copepods from a deep-water hydrothermal zone in the Lau Basin, South Pacific 

by Arthur G. Humes


#### Abstract

Three siphonostomatoid copepods are reported from a deep-water vent area in the Lau Basin, west of the Tonga islands. Stygiopontius lauensis n. sp., and Stygiopontius brevispina n. sp. are differentiated according to a key provided to the species of Stygiopontius. Chasmatopontius thescalus Humes, 1990, has been known thus far only from the Mariana Back-Arc Basin.

Résumé. - Trois copépodes siphonostomatoides ont été recueillis pendant l'expédition BIOLAU, sur une ride en eau profonde dans le Bassin de Lau, à louest des Illes Tonga. Les caractères distinctifs de Stygiopontius lauensis n. sp. et Stygiopontius brevispina n. sp. apparaissent dans une clef récapitulative des 14 espèces de Stygiopontius. Chasmatopontius tleescalus Humes, 1990, n'était connu jusqu'à présent que du Bassin de Mariana Back-Arc.


A. G. Humes, Boston University Marine Program, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, U.S.A., 02543.

Poecilostomatoid and siphonostomatoid copepods have been reported from hydrothermal vents in the mid-Atlantic (Humes, 1987: 723), the Gulf of Mexico (Humes, 1988a, 1989a), the eastern Pacific (Humes, 1984, 1987, 1988b, $c, d, 1989 b, c, 1990 a, 1990 c$; Humes and Dojiri, 1980a, b), and in the Mariana Back-Arc Basin (Humes, 1990b). Siphonostomatoids are particularly abundant at these sites.

During the BIOLAU expedition organized by the Centre de Brest (IFREMER, Institut Français pour l'Exploitation de la Mer), 12-27 May 1989, to the Valu Fa Ridge, Lau Basin, Anne-Marie Alayse chief scientist, several samples of copepods were collected. These collections were sent to me for examination by the Centre National de Tri d'Océanographie Biologique (CENTOB, IFREMER).

The copepods were studied in lactic acid following the method described by Humes and Gooding (1964). All drawings were made with the aid of a camera lucida. In the explanations of the figures the letter after each figure refers to the scale at which it was drawn. The abbreviations used are : $\mathrm{A}_{1}=$ first antenna, $\mathrm{A}_{2}=$ second antenna, MXPD $=$ maxilliped, and $P_{1}=\operatorname{leg} 1$.

# SIPHONOSTOMATOIDA Thorell, 1859 

Dirivultidae Humes and Dojiri, 1980
STYGIOPONTIUS Humes, 1987

## Stygiopontius lauensis n. sp.

(Figs. 1-3)
Type material. - 34 여, $5 \mathrm{o}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}^{\circ}$ from depth of $1,750 \mathrm{~m}$, BIOLAU 10, station 2, Vailili, Lau Basin, west of Tonga islands, $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 176^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 22$ May 1989. Holotype (MNHN CP578), allotype (MNHN CP579), and 28 paratypes ( 25 OP) , 3 ỡ $^{\star}$ (MNHN CP580) deposited in the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris; 5 paratype $9 \circ$ in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington. Remaining paratypes (dissected) in the collection of the author.
 BIOLAU 11, 23 May 1989; 2 ;q, BIOLAU 06, 18 May 1989 ; 1 q, BIOLAU 12, 24 May 1989.

## Description of female

Body (fig. 1a) with moderately broad prosome. Length $0.88 \mathrm{~mm}(0.84-0.92 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.48 \mathrm{~mm}(0.46-0.50 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 10 specimens in lactic acid. Greatest dorsoventral thickness 0.28 mm . Epimera of segment bearing leg 1 (fused with head) acutely pointed posteriorly, those of segment bearing leg 2 less pointed, those of segment bearing leg 3 truncate, and those of segment bearing leg 4 bluntly pointed. Ratio of length to width of prosome $1.26: 1$. Ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome $1.89: 1$.

Segment bearing leg 5 (fig. lb) $70 \times 103 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Genital segment in dorsal view $125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, $146 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide in anterior half with pair of lateral spiniform processes, narrower in posterior half, $108 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Genital areas, both bearing 1 seta (fig. 1c), situated dorsolaterally near level of spiniform processes. Three postgenital segments from anterior to posterior $49 \times 88$, $36 \times 79$, and $44 \times 70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Anal segment (fig. 1d) with small posteroventral spines.

Caudal ramus (fig. le) elongate, $70 \times 28 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ratio $2.5: 1$. Outer lateral seta $83 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, dorsal seta $68 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, outermost terminal seta $55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, innermost terminal seta $99 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and 2 long median terminal setae $285 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (outer) and $520 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (inner). Longest terminal seta with few indistinct lateral setules distally, other setae smooth. Few minute spinules at distal outer corner of ramus.

Dorsal surface of prosome and segment bearing leg 5 without sensilla. Dorsal surface of genital and postgenital segments with refractile points (fig. lb).

Egg sac (fig. 1f) $363 \times 230 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with 2 eggs $234 \times 230 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Rostrum not developed (fig. 2a). First antenna (fig. 1g) $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, 10 -segmented. Lengths of segments (measured along their posterior nonsetiferous margins) : 57 ( $96 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ along anterior margin), $19,9,31,23,23,21,21,23$, and $39 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Formula for armature : $15,8,2,4,2,2,2,2,2+1$ aesthete, and 12 . All setae smooth.


Fig. 1. - Stygiopontius lauensis n. sp., female : a, dorsal (scale A) ; b, urosome, dorsal (B) ; c, segment bearing leg 5 and genital segment, lateral (C); d, anal segment, ventral (D); e, anal segment and caudal ramus, dorsal (D) ; f, egg sac, dorsal (A) ; g, first antenna, posteroventral (C); h, second antenna, antero-inner (D).

Second antenna (fig. 1h) with short coxa and elongated basis, both unornamented. Exopod small, $11 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, bearing 3 setae. Endopod with elongate smooth first segment. Second segment shorter with outer and inner short smooth setae and 2 unequal long spinelike setae (longer seta $96 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) having minute subterminal spinules; segment with few outer setules.

Oral cone (siphon) (fig. 2a) short. Mandible (fig. 2b) with long slender blade $96 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. First maxilla (fig. 2c), second maxilla (fig. 2d), and maxilliped (fig. 2e) similar to those of congeners, for example, Stygiopontius hispidulus Humes, 1987. Claw of maxilliped $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long.

Legs 1-4 (figs. 2f-i) with 3 -segmented rami, except for 2 -segmented endopod in leg 4. Formula for armature (roman numerals representing spines, arabic numerals indicating setae) as follows :

| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ coxa 0-1 basis 1-I | $\exp \mathrm{I}-1 ; \mathrm{I}-1 ; \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I}, 4$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0-1 basis 1-0 | enp $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1,2,3$ |
|  | enp 0-1; 0-2; 1, 2, 3 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ coxa 0-0 basis 1-0 | $\exp$ I-1; I-1; II, II, 5 |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ coxa 0-0 basis 1-0 | $\begin{aligned} & \exp \mathrm{I}-1 ; \mathrm{I}-1 ; \text { II, II, } 4 \\ & \operatorname{enp} 0-0 ; \mathrm{I}, 1 \end{aligned}$ |

Coxa of legs 1 and 2 with inner seta but unarmed in legs 3 and 4 . Basis of leg 1 bluntly pointed with inner barbed spine $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Leg 3 with third segment of endopod having 1 , $\mathbf{I}$, 3. Leg 4 with exopod $180 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Endopod (fig. 3a) with unarmed first segment $29 \times 16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Second segment $55 \times 18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ with terminal barbed spine $76 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, inner plumose seta $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; inner and outer margins with few setules.

Leg 5 (figs. lc, 3b) $52 \times 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with 2 segments only partly separated. Seta on first segment $62 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Terminal setae on second segment 45,18 , and $34 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from dorsal to ventral. All setae smooth.

Leg 6 probably represented by seta on genital area (fig. 1b).
Color unknown.

## Description of male

Body (fig. 3c) with prosome more rounded anteriorly than in female. Length 0.65 mm $(0.64-0.66 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.33 \mathrm{~mm}(0.32-0.33 \mathrm{~mm})$. Ratio of length to width of prosome $1.30: 1$. Ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome $1.94: 1$.

Segment bearing leg 5 (fig. 3d) $55 \times 78 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Genital segment $94 \times 110 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ with gently rounded margins. Four postgenital segments $39 \times 75,31 \times 62,20 \times 56$, and $35 \times 55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. First postgenital segment with pair of conspicuous posterolateral spiniform processes (fig. 3d, e). Anal segment with spines as in female.

Caudal ramus resembling that of female, but shorter, $42 \times 21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ratio $2: 1$.
Urosome lacking refractile points seen in female.
Rostral area as in female. First antenna (fig. 3f) geniculate, 12 -segmented. Lengths of segments (measured along their posterior nonsetiferous margins) : 12, 5, 38, 10, 9, 10, 28, 22, $21,46,34$, and $29 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Formula for armature : 1, 2, 12, 8, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 1, 1 aesthete, and 10 . Fifth segment with large finely barbed spiniform seta $28 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and shorter bifurcate seta $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (fig. 3 g ).


Fig. 2. - Stygiopontius lauensis n. sp., female : a, middle region of cephalosome, ventral (scale E); b, mandible, posterior ( $F$ ) ; c, first maxilla, anterior ( $D$ ) ; d, second maxilla, posterior ( D ) ; e, maxilliped, posterior (C) ; f, leg 1 and intercoxal plate, anterior (E); g, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (E);h, leg 3 and intercoxal plate, anterior (E) ; i, leg 4 and intercoxal plate, anterior (E).


Fig. 3. - Stygiopontius lauensis n. sp. Female : a, endopod of leg 4, anterior (scale D) ; b, leg 5, dorsal (F). Male : c, dorsal (A) ; d, urosome, dorsal (E) ; e, urosome, lateral (E) ; f, first antenna, anterodorsal (D); g, spiniform setae on segment 5 of first antenna, posteroventral (G); h, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (E); $i$, leg 5 , ventral ( F ) ; j, segment bearing leg 5 , genital segment, and first postgenital segment, ventral (C); $k$, spermatophore, attached to female, ventral (D).

Second antenna, oral cone, mandible, first maxilla, second maxilla, and maxilliped like those of female.

Legs $1-4$ similar to those of female, but all legs lacking inner seta on coxa. Leg 2 with sexual dimorphism in third segment of endopod, formula 1, II, I, 2 (fig. 3 h ).

Leg 5 (fig. 3i) situated ventrally (fig. 3 j ) with minute subtriangular free segment $13 \times$ $13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, bearing 3 setae 42,18 , and $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from outer to inner, and lacking 2 innermost setae seen in congeners. Adjacent seta $65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. All setae smooth.

Leg 6 (fig. 3e, j) posteroventral flap on genital segment ornamented with minute spinules and bearing stout spine $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and slender seta $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, both smooth.

Spermatophore (fig. 3k), attached to female, oval, $43 \times 39 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Color unknown.
Etymology. - The specific name refers to the locality where found.

## Remarks

Stygiopontius lauensis may be differentiated from its congeners by the use of the key below.

One character of $S$. lauensis is unusual : the absence of two innermost setae on the free segment of leg 5 in the male (these setae being present in the other male congeners known, namely, S. quadrispinosus, S. verruculatus, S. paxillifer and S. appositus).

Stygiopontius brevispina n. sp.
(Figs. 4-6)
Type material. - $12 \hat{\sigma} \widehat{3}$ from depth of $1,750 \mathrm{~m}$, BIOLAU 10 , station 2, Vailili, Lau Basin, west of Tonga islands, $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 176^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 22$ May 1989, 3 oे $^{\circ} \delta^{\circ}$, BIOLAU 11, same depth and locality, 23 May 1989. Holotype ㅇ (MNHN CP582), allotype (MNHN CP583), and 7 paratypes ( 7 여 (MNHN CP584), $1{ }^{\text {on }}$ (MNHN CP585)) deposited in the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris. Remaining paratypes (dissected) in the collection of the author.

Other specimens (all from type locality). - 4 웅, BIOLAU 09, 21 May 1989; 21 우, 3 so (designated as allotype and paratypes), BIOLAU 11, 23 May 1989 ; 4 fof, BIOLAU 06, 18 May 1989 ; 1 \%, BIOLAU 12, 24 May 1989.

## Description of female

Body (fig. 4a) with moderately broad prosome. Length $1.25 \mathrm{~mm}(1.20-1.31 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.61 \mathrm{~mm}(0.59-0.63 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 8 specimens in lactic acid. Greatest dorsoventral thickness 0.36 mm . Epimera of segments bearing legs $1-4$ rounded. Ratio of length to width of prosome $1.36: 1$. Ratio of length prosome to that of urosome $1.63: 1$.

Segment bearing leg 5 (fig. 4b) $132 \times 176 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Genital segment in dorsal view $297 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, incised medially, anterior part of segment $287 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide, posterior part $156 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, both parts with rounded lateral margins. Genital areas situated dorsolaterally near middle of segment, both with single minute seta (fig. $4 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ ). Three postgenital segments from anterior to posterior $88 \times 131,65 \times 109$, and $68 \times 97 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 4. - Stygiopontius brevispina n. sp., female : a, dorsal (scale A) ; b, urosome, dorsal (B) ; c, segment of leg 5 and genital segment, lateral (B) ; d, anal segment and caudal ramus, dorsal (C); e, cephalosome, ventral (A).

Caudal ramus (fig. 4d) elongate, $117 \times 43 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ratio $2.72: 1$. Outer lateral seta $55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, dorsal seta $42 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, outermost terminal seta $52 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and innermost terminal seta $96 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Two long terminal setae $285 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (outer) and $460 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (inner), both with short lateral setules. Distal outer margin of ramus with small spinules.

Dorsal surface of genital segment with longitudinal median row of 4 refractile points (fig. 4b). Anal segment with pair of minute sensilla. Otherwise body unornamented.

Egg sac unknown.
Rostrum not developed (fig. 4e). First antenna (fig. 5a) $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, 10 -segmented. Lengths of segments (measured along their posterior nonsetiferous margins) : $62(101 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ along anterior margin), 24, 13, 32, 25, 23, 21, 19, 22, and $39 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Formula for armature : $15,8,2,4,2,2,2,2,2+1$ aesthete, and 12 . All setae smooth.

Second antenna (fig. 5b) with short coxa and elongated basis, both unornamented. Exopod $16 \times 8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ with 3 setae. Endopod with first segment unarmed. Second segment having 2 relatively short terminal spiniform setae $36 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $49 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, both with few minute subterminal spinules, 1 short subterminal seta, 1 inner short seta, and row of setules on outer margin.

Oral cone (fig. 4e) short. Mandible (fig. 5c) with blade $104 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, having oblique terminal row of small teeth. First maxilla (fig. 5d) and second maxilla (fig. 5e) resembling in major respects those of Stygiopontius lauensis. Maxilliped (fig. 5 f ) with first segment having 1 distal inner seta, second segment with 1 inner seta and few small outer marginal spinules, both third and fourth segments with 1 seta, and fifth segment with 1 subterminal seta and relatively short terminal unornamented claw $47 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Ratio of length of claw to sum of segments 3-5 approximately $1: 2$.

Legs 1-4 (figs. $5 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{i}, 6 \mathrm{a}$ ) with segmentation and spine and setal formula as in Stygiopontius lauensis. Leg 1 with inner spine on basis $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Leg 4 with exopod $187 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Endopod (fig. 6b) with unarmed first segment $31 \times 21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Elongate second segment $73 \times$ $29 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, terminal barbed spine $74 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and inner plumose seta $108 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; outer and inner margins of segment with long setules.

Leg 5 (fig. 6c) $104 \times 39 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ratio $2.67: 1,2$ segments completely fused. Seta on area of first segment $117 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, terminal setae 34,34 , and $102 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. All seta smooth.

Leg 6 probably represented by minute seta on genital area (fig. 4b, c).
Color unknown.

## Description of male

Body (fig. 6d) resembling in general form that of female. Length $0.85 \mathrm{~mm}(0.83-0.89 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.39 \mathrm{~mm}(0.39-0.40 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 3 specimens in lactic acid. Greatest dorsoventral thickness 0.21 mm . Epimera of segment bearing leg 3 truncate rather than rounded as in female. Ratio of length to width of prosome $1.43: 1$. Ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome $1.71: 1$.

Segment bearing leg 5 (fig. 6e) $44 \times 91 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Genital segment in dorsal view $96 \times 127 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, wider than long, with moderately rounded lateral margins. Four postgenital segments from anterior to posterior $55 \times 90,52 \times 78,34 \times 69$, and $40 \times 62 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. First postgenital segment with pair of small posterolateral spiniform processes.

Caudal ramus similar to that of female, but smaller, $57 \times 26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ratio $2.19: 1$.


Fig. 5. - Stygiopontius brevispina n. sp., female : a, first antenna, ventral (scale C) ; b, second antenna, anteroinner (D) ; c, mandible, anterior (D) ; d, first maxilla, posterior (D) ; e, second maxilla, posterior (D) ; f, maxilliped, posterior (C); g, leg I and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); h, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); i, leg 3 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B).

Urosome without refractile points.
Rostral area like that of female. First antenna (fig. 6f) geniculate, 12 -segmented. Lengths of segments (measured along their posterior nonsetiferous margins) : $6(36 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ along anterior margin), $10,40,33,8,11,33,30,26,45,36$, and $27 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Formula for armature : 1 , $2,12,8,2,2,4,2,2,3,1$ aesthete, and 10 . Fifth segment with large minutely barbed spiniform seta $31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and shorter bifurcate seta $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (fig. 6 g ).

Second antenna, oral cone, mandible, first maxilla, and second maxilla like those of female. Maxilliped similar to that of female but claw slightly longer, $55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Legs 1-4 segmented as in female. Formula for armature like that of female, but inner coxal seta lacking on all 4 legs. Endopod of leg 2 (fig. 6h) showing sexual dimorphism, with third segment having 1, II, 3.

Leg 5 (fig. 6i) with minute free segment $16 \times 21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, bearing 3 setae 44 , 21, and $31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Adjacent seta $88 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. All setae smooth.

Leg 6 (fig. 6j) posteroventral flap on genital segment bearing 2 setae $62 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Spermatophore seen only inside genital segment.
Color unknown.
Etymology. - The specific name brevispina, Latin meaning short spine, alludes to the relatively short terminal spiniform setae on the second antenna and to the short terminal claw on the maxilliped.

## Remarks

Stygiopontius brevispina may be recognized by : (1) the two short terminal spinelike setae on the end of the second antenna, (2) the short claw on the maxilliped, (3) the incised female genital segment without lateral or posterolateral spiniform processes, and (4) the completely undivided leg 5 in the female. This combination of characters may be used to differentiate the new species from its 13 congeners. Stygiopontius brevispina, as in S. lauensis, lacks the two innermost setae on the free segment of leg 5 in the male.

With the addition of the two new species described above the genus Stygiopontius is now represented by 14 species, all from deep-water hydrothermal vent areas.

Key to females of Stygiopontius
(Females unknown in 3 species)

1. Genital segment without spiniform processes ......................................................... 2

- Genital segment with at least 1 pair of spiniform processes ................................. 4

2. First postgenital segment with pair of spiniform processes............. lumiger Humes, $1989 b$

- First postgenital segment without spiniform processes ............................................ 3

3. Band of light brown color on ventral surface of posterior half of genital segment ; second postgenital segment unusually short ............................................... cinctiger Humes, 1987

- Without colored band on genital segment ; second postgenital segment not unusually short ... brevispina $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{sp}$.

4. Maxilliped with seta on second segment much enlarged, thornlike, spinulose. sentifer Humes, 1987

- Maxilliped with seta on second segment slender, not enlarged.............................. 5


Fig. 6. - Stygiopontius brevispina n. sp. Female : a, leg 4 and intercoxal plate, anterior (scale B) ; b, endopod of leg 4, anterior (C); c, leg 5, dorsal (C). Male : d, dorsal (H); e, urosome, dorsal (E); f, first antenna, anteroventral (C) ; g, spiniform setae on segment 5 of first antenna, anterodorsal (D) ; $h$, endopod of leg 2, anterior ( E ) ; $i$, leg 5 , ventral ( F ) ; j, segment bearing leg 5 and genital segment, ventral ( E ).
5. Genital segment with 1 pair of posterolateral spiniform processes; segment with parallel sides in dorsal view
flexus Humes, 1987

- Genital segment with 2 pairs of lateral processes, either spiniform or slightly lobate ; sides of segment not parallel 6

6. Third segment of exopod of leg 4 with I, II, 4 ; length of body 1.78 mm . mucroniferus Humes, 1987

- Third segment of exopod of leg 4 with II, II, 4; length of body not greater than 1.30 mm .7

7. Third segment of endopod of leg 3 with $1,1,3$; caudal ramus with innermost seta very short, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, about one-sixth length of ramus quadrispinosus Humes, 1987

- Third segment of endopod of leg 3 with 1, I, 3; caudal ramus with innermost seta longer than ramus

8. Clawlike seta on fourth segment of second antenna and claw of maxilliped conspicuously pectinate, with long erect spinules
pectinatus Humes, 1987

- Setae on fourth segment of second antenna neither clawlike nor pectinate and claw of maxilliped without long erect spinules

9
9. Coxae of legs $1-4$ with formula $0-1 ; 0-1 ; 0-0 ; 0-0$; outer margin of second segment of maxilliped smooth

- Coxae of legs $1-4$ with formula $0-0 ; 0-1 ; 0-0 ; 0-0$; small setules along outer margin of second segment of maxilliped.................................................... . . stabilitus Humes, $1990 b$

10. Length of body $1.14 \mathrm{~mm}(1.03-1.20 \mathrm{~mm})$; inner margin of basis of leg 1 rounded with small spinules; distal outer corner of caudal ramus smooth, without spinules. hispidulus Humes, 1987

- Length of body $0.88 \mathrm{~mm}(0.84-0.92 \mathrm{~mm})$; inner margin of basis of leg 1 bluntly pointed, smooth ; distal outer corner of caudal ramus with small spinules.
lauensis n . sp.

Key to males of Stygiopontius
(Males unknown in 8 species)


- Sides of cephalosome without ventral bifurcate pegs................................................. 3

2. Length of body $0.86 \mathrm{~mm}(0.78-0.95 \mathrm{~mm})$; second segment of maxilliped having slender setule with setules appositus Humes, $1989 b$

- Length of body $1.22 \mathrm{~mm}(1.18-1.27 \mathrm{~mm})$; second segment of maxilliped with modified broad seta bearing many spinules paxillifer Humes, $1989 b$

3. Second segment of maxilliped lacking seta but having spherical knob. verruculatus Humes, 1987

- Second segment of maxilliped having seta 4

4. Length of body $1.22 \mathrm{~mm}(1.14-1.33 \mathrm{~mm})$; leg 6 with spinules, and having stout spiniform seta and slender seta, both nearly equal in length ; spiniform processes on first postgenital segment larg2 and prominent lauensis n . sp.

- Length of body less than 0.90 mm ; leg 6 without spinules, 2 setae slender ................ 5

5. Length of body $0.85 \mathrm{~mm}(0.83-0.89 \mathrm{~mm})$; endopod of leg 3 with I, II, $3 \ldots$ brevispina n . sp.

- Length of body $0.67 \mathrm{~mm}(0.63-0.72 \mathrm{~mm})$; endopod of leg 3 with I, II, I, 2 .

CHASMATOPONTIUS Humes, 1990
Chasmatopontius thescalus Humes, 1990
Material examined. - 93 of, $44 \delta^{\circ} \delta^{\circ}$, in $1,750 \mathrm{~m}$, BIOLAU 10 , station 2, Vailili, Lau Basin, $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 176^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, west of Tonga islands, 22 May $1989 ; 108$ 여, $48 \delta^{\circ} \delta^{\circ}$, BIOLAU 06 , same depth and
 BIOLAU 09, same depth and locality, 21 May $1989 ; 1$ p, BIOLAU 12, same depth and locality, 24 May 1989.

Chasmatopontius thescalus has been previously known only from washings of tubes of the polychaete Paralvinella hessleri Desbruyères and Laubier in $3,640 \mathrm{~m}$ in the Mariana Back-Arc Basin (Humes, 1990b).
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